

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,025	02/16/2001	Tomohiro Ishihara	50395-084	2652
7:	590 04/20/2004		EXAMINER	
McDermott Will & Emery			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
600 13th Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>~</u>
The state of the s	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/763,025	ISHIHARA ET AL.	
Auticoly Modell	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Hoffmann	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	S
THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply iich places the applicati	to a on in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See I	MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) a	ion fee under as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)		•	
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed ar	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	fo issues which were i	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			ns t
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	,		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		4.	/
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) application are	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner. / ////	/
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		11/1/////	

John Hoffmann Primar Examiner Art Unit: 1731 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/763,025

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The issue is whether the amendment to claim 1 which requires the reducing to be after inserting and before the heating is new matter.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment was not entered. Although the arguments indicate the ultimate paragraph of page 9 has support for the invention, there is no indication that reduction in pressure occurs before the heating. The rest of the arguments are moot because the amendment was not entered.

Continuation of 10. Other: THe indication of allowable subject matter in the final rejection was clearly erroneous because there is no vitrifying claimed. It should have said the prior art does not recognize the thermal shrinkage of claim 1 in conjunction with the further shrinkage of claim 3..